

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/710,654 Examiner Jeffrey C. Mullis	Applicant(s) DEETER ET AL. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 1796</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 1796	
Art Unit 1796				

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey C. Mullis.

(2) Jeffrey Lomprey.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Those relying on Emmons and Brandstetter.

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

Emmons and Brandstetter

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants attorney was contacted to obtain permission for an Examiners amendment which would introduce the same language to claim 23 as was introduced into claim 1 with the RCE of 7-24 so that the claims would be allowable.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeffrey C. Mullis/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)